	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/966,939	SOWDEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert B. Davis	1722
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Robert B. Davis.	(3)	
(2) <u>David R. Crichton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 August 2003	Time: <u>9:40</u>	·
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica	nnt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.	. ·	·
Claims discussed: None.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
2/+1		
Thuld		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Crichton to suggest changes to the Brief Description Section of the specification. The examiner left a phone message. Mr. Crichton called the examiner and it was agreed to modify existing text to include figures 19D, 39A, 60A, 60B, 66, 67. It was also agreed to add text describing figure 89. The changes are detailed in the attached examiner's amendment..